

PROJECT EVALUATION FORM

1. SPONSOR'S OFFICE:

2. INFORMATION REQUEST DATE:

3. TARGET SITE IDENTIFICATION:

4. PROJECT NUMBER:

5. SOURCE NUMBER:

6. NUMBER OF REPORTS SUBMITTED FOR PROJECT:

7. REPORT IDENTIFICATION NUMBERS AND DATES:

8. REFERENCES:

// a. Requirements (cite) _____
(ICR/CIR/DIRM 3/DIRM 9)

// b. Initiative Report

9. REASON FOR EVALUATION (select one):

// a. ICR Response

// b. Collectors Request

// c. Selected By Analyst

10. VALUE OF INFORMATION (select one):

// a. Major Significant Value (Narrative comment required;
include report numbers, specific information in the report
which was valuable, and why it was of value.)

DRAFT

// b. Valuable (Narrative comment required; include report numbers, specific information in the report which was valuable, and why it was of value.)

// c. No Value (See Item 12, below.)

11. TYPES OF PRODUCTS TO BENEFIT FROM USE OF REPORTED INFORMATION
(select one or more and describe how the products will benefit):

// a. Basic Intelligence

// b. Current Intelligence

// c. Estimative Intelligence

// d. S&T Intelligence

12. REASON INFORMATION IS OF NO VALUE (select one only):

// a. Too Fragmentary

// b. Duplicative

// c. Untimely

// d. Not Responsive to Tasking Cited

13. DEGREE OF REQUIREMENT SATISFACTION (select one only):

- / / a. Completely Satisfied
- / / b. Partially Satisfied
- / / c. Not Satisfied At All

4. REMARKS (comments should include, but not be limited to recommendations for application of this unique intelligence methodology):

15. DISCLOSURE DATA (select one only):

- / / a. Releasable Evaluation Not Requested
- / / b. Evaluation Is Not Releasable
- / / c. Evaluation Is Releasable On a Need-To-Know Basis

16. EVALUATOR'S NAME:

17. EVALUATOR'S OFFICE SYMBOL:

18. DATE EVALUATED:

19. ORIGINATOR OF REQUEST FOR INFORMATION:

20. (Signature of evaluator) _____.